



SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/319,490	10/07/ 9 4	ESCH	H 21633593200F
		HEN	EXAMINER DRICKSON, S
		11M1/1006	
CUSHMAN DARBY			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
1100 NEW YORK NINTH FLOOR EA			10
WASHINGTON DC	20005-3918	j j	103
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/95
This is a communicat	ion from the everniner	in charge of your application.	10/06/95
COMMISSIONER OF	PATENTS AND TRA	DEMARKS	
			١ ،
This application i	nas been examined	Responsive to communication filed on	195 This action is made final.
A shortened statistics	norted for response to	·)	down from the data of this latter
		o this action is set to expire month(s), conse will cause the application to become abandone	
Part I THE FOLLO	WING ATTACHMENT	(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	
	References Cited by E		of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
	Art Cited by Applicant,	PTO-1449. 4. Notice Not	e of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152.
5. Li informatio	n on How to Ellect Dra	wing Changes, P10-1474.	•
Part II SUMMARY	OF ACTION	1.0	
1. Claims			are pending in the application.
- -		26	
. Of the	above, claims		are withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims			have been cancelled.
a Claims			are allowed
N /		1 10	are allowed.
4. 🔀 Claims		land?	are rejected.
5. Claims			are objected to.
e 1571 claima		1-7 we	e
			subject to restriction or election requirement.
7. This applicati	on has been filed with	informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are a	cceptable for examination purposes.
8. Formal drawl	ngs are required in res	sponse to this Office action.	
0 The semester	d as as death standard	s have been received on	H-d-07.0 5.D. 4.04.b dd
		s have been received on	
40 🗆 🖚		te sheet(s) of drawings, filed on	
		xaminer (see explanation).	nas (nave) been
11. The proposed	damdam	lad backers Paramon	d. Data-
		ed, has been approve	
12. Acknowledge	ment is made of the cl	alm for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified c	ppy has been received not been received
L been filed	п рагент аррисацоп, s	erial no; filed on	
13. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in			
	iin the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
14 Other			

Serial Number: 08/319,490

Art Unit: 1103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.75(d)(1) and M.P.E.P. § 608.01(l). Correction of the following is required: there is no disclosure of "DBP/CTAB=3.5 to 3.9".

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a) The parameters in claim 1 are somewhat inconsistent; the CTAB cannot be more than 86 or else there would be a conflict with the DBP/CTAB.
- b) In claim 1 lines 7-8, "(ml= ... consumption)" is unclear as to what it means, if it limits the claim and what is intended to be within the parentheses. If the amount of NaOH of a certain concentration required to neutralize the material is meant, it should be clearly stated.
- c) In line 12 of claim 1, V_1 and V_2 are undefined.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, fourth paragraph, as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Claim 7 broadens the range of DBP/CTAB.

Serial Number: 08/319,490

Art Unit: 1103

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over Lagarde et al.

The reference teaches in col.2 lines 5-35 and col.9 lines 1-10 silica having BET 242, CTAB 237, BET/CTAB=1.02. The other properties, to the extent the claim can be comprehended, are deemed inherently possessed since the BET, CTAB and their ratio are the same as claimed; where the examiner has found substantially the same product as claimed in the art but cannot determine whether the reference inherently meets the claimed limitations, the burden is upon the applicant to show a difference; In re Fitzgerald et al. 205 USPQ 594.

To the extent that the examples are not considered anticipatory, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make silica having the claimed properties since doing so provides a fine silica having properties desired by Lagarde col. 1 lines 65-68. With regard to the overlapping general values disclosed in col. 2 lines 1-35, (also taught is a particle size of essentially less than 45 microns), the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected the overlapping portion of the range disclosed by the reference because overlapping ranges have been

Serial Number: 08/319,490 -4-

Art Unit: 1103

held to be a prima facie case of obviousness, see In re Malagari, 182 U.S.P.Q. 549.

Applicant's arguments filed 8/1/95 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

The intended use of the material does not limit it; no differences in the product claimed have been shown. There are no limitations as to the sodium content. Note that the amendment to line 12 appears to be an error; the claim clearly is not limited to the features argued.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format of an Abstract of the Disclosure.

The last 9 lines should be deleted and the DBP/CTAB corrected.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Serial Number: 08/319,490 -5-

Art Unit: 1103

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (703) 308-2539.

WAYNE LANGEL PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 110